

# The Protocols of the Ruffle-Crested Kikes of Zion

(Where-in the Author of the Protocols is Identified)

by

Professor BanjoBilly, Kikenverminologist  
with

patientman, of Greater London, Europe,  
providing French translation and research assistance

Those wily creatures, the Common Ruffle-Crested Jews, homo kikenverminus, are more difficult to track down and shove under a microscope lens than their related species, the vampire bats. So, it was with trepidation, consternation, liberal application of insect repellent and medicinal whiskey that I endeavored to chase these creatures from their jungle lair and spread them out for scientific study.

To avoid hiking along the same trails that have already been investigated by previous explorers, no researcher on safari ever just jumps into the jungle without first doing the necessary studies of the scientific literature. So, the first stop in my quest was the research stacks of my university library. There, deep in the rows of dusty dissertations and arcane volumes, I found the first clues to tracking down the elusive Ruffled Crested Jew.

According to the histories on the subject, it seems that this particular varmint has been causing a lot of trouble for Mankind during the past several millennia, even worse than mosquitoes and the crocodile combined. So, I was determined to put a stop to its depredations by studying its natural history and its characteristics in the wild. However, unlike other flora and fauna, the Ruffled Crested Jews know how to read and write. I found its oddly shaped tracks leading directly out of a book known as the Old Testament. Poking their mangy bearded faces out of that book, the Ruffle Crested Jews have proclaimed themselves, while scratching their fleas, to be the finest example of creatures on the planet, second only to God in their immaculate wonderfulness.

This surprising revelation set me back in my chair with complete consternation. I

had always assumed that the Ruffle Crested Jews were similar to locusts or termites or tape worms by the way they gnawed away at society through banking and stock market swindles and by the way that they created wars in which everybody died or were made homeless except for themselves. These were dangerous monsters, I had thought. Could I have been wrong? Could I have been mistaken about their lies, their swindles, their depraved perversions, their evil, and their nasty habits simply out of some bigoted prejudice on my own part? I took a sip from my flask of medicinal spirits to sooth my worry pangs, as I pressed onward with my studies.

From the books that the Common Ruffle Crested Jews have written about themselves, their chirping cries and pitiful whines are clearly audible – even in print. As

2

I read their laudatory epistles about themselves, it was almost as if I could hear their wild moaning from the darkest jungles as they shrugged their shoulders with palms upward, craned their crooked necks and licked their rubbery lips. Their voices wailed through the ages, almost coughing up hair balls, as they lisped about how they were the light of the world, sweet and innocent of all blame, misunderstood in their quest for God, virtuous, honest, noble, brave, supremely intelligent, generous to a fault, and as pure and bright as the silk on a spider's web.

"Wow" I thought, "these Jews are really something." After reading their very own opinions of themselves, I wondered how I could have been so wrong. These Ruffled Crested Jews were nothing short of God's gift to Mankind – to hear them tell about it.

To hear the Jews explain it, they are simply the most wonderful people to have ever hatched from a snake's egg. Yet, as a reputable scientist, I could not rely solely upon one side of the story. It was necessary to inspect all evidence to the contrary.

Some of the evidence to the contrary is found in a small book entitled, The

Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. After reading the Protocols, I immediately began oiling up my firearms, filling my bandoleers with bullets, sharpening up my longest Bowie knives, and putting my hunting gear into order as I prepared for my safari. Yet, there was still more research in the libraries to do first. I could almost hear the squeaks, hisses, and curses of the Ruffle Crested Jews as I read about how they claimed that the Protocols were fraudulent attempts to besmirch God's Holy Chosen People.

As a scientist, I could not accept either the claims of the Jews or the claims of the author of the Protocols without inspecting both sides of the argument. Therefore, with the help of an able research assistant who is fluent in French, we delved into the dark and treacherous history of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. And behold! There, in the darkest swamps of French history, their cloven hooves stamped into the primordial mud along with the sinuous tracks made by their pointy tails dragging in the ooze, we found the secret trails of the Common Ruffled Crested Jews.

If you have read The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, you are also probably familiar with its history. However, my French language research assistant, delving into the original historical archives of that great European nation, has discovered some new facts of which even the best researchers of previous histories have not been privy. Some of what I am about to tell you is new, never before published information.

For those of you who are already familiar with the Protocols, let's inspect the new evidence that has been carefully hidden for over a hundred and fifty years. However, if you have not yet read this famous book, then you should stop right here and go no further until you have corrected this lack in your education since, without reading the Protocols, the secrets of the Ruffle Crested Jews are hidden from you forever. What follows is for you courageous explorers and researchers only; those who have already read the Protocols and want to know more about them and the secrets they contain.

This much is common knowledge: It was in 1905 that a Russian Orthodox by the

name of Serge Nilus, translated the Protocols from the French into the Russian language.

It is clear from Nilus' statement that he considered them a part of the Biblical Anti-Christ plan; that is, a plan by which the Jews create the kingdom of Satan on earth. There can be no doubt that the author of the Protocols was a very evil creature.

In 1906, a Russian language copy was registered in the British Museum.

However, they caused little controversy. Nilus bewailed the fact that the Protocols as a

3

blueprint of Jewish power aroused so little interest before the 1905 revolution that Jewry had engineered. However, after the Communist Revolution of 1917, the Protocols aroused intense interest all over Europe and in the USA because, through Communism, the Jews were implementing all of the ruthlessness and butchery that the Protocols promoted. Through the facade of Communism, the Jews inflicted upon the betrayed peoples of Russia all of the demonic evils in which the Protocols rejoice.

When the Jews took over Russia with their Bolshevik Revolution of 1917, they immediately tortured to death and murdered 40,000 Christian monks and priests, turned the Christian Churches into warehouses and horse barns, and then went on to murder, brutally torture and starve to death another 60 million Russians and Ukrainians. Those Jews were following perfectly the methods described in the Protocols. Nilus, himself, was arrested by the Jewish Bolsheviks and tortured to death.

Similar to the Jew-invented "hate crime laws" of modern America and Europe, under the dictatorship of the Jews, the very first law decreed by Lenin was to proclaim the death penalty for anti-Semitism. Publishing anything critical of the Jews or saying anything that does not compliment them or praise them highly enough, is anti-Semitism, according to their own definition.

So yes, after the Communist Revolution of 1917, there was a tremendous interest

in the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. The people of the West wanted to know more about the Ruffled Crested Jews who were living among us. Could these Jews, who were busily stealing our wealth through banking swindles and financial frauds, be trusted with our money or were they also murderers and traitors just biding their time?

With this new and alarming interest by the Gentiles in the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, and with this increased questioning of Jewish methods and morals, the Ruffled Crested Jews of the entire world began an orchestrated demonstration of their irritating squawks. Upon the prompting of their rabbis, at the mere mention of the Protocols, all of the Ruffled Crested Jews worldwide began to scream in unison the famous alarm call of the Common Ruffled Crested Jew. It usually sounds like this: "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!" This call echoed from the deepest jungles to the mountaintops, and was carried on the very radio transmissions and splashed across the world's Media – the raucous cry of innocence from the Common Ruffled Crested Jews.

It was an amazingly colorful display of ruffled feathers and shrill squawks, wild grimaces, the shaking of fists and the stamping of cloven hooves. And it achieved the intended results as the people of the world clapped their hands over their ears, unable to listen to any other speakers other than the strident and never-ending primordial howl of the Ruffled Crested Jews.

However, there was nothing more that the Jews could do except complain. In Russia, the Jew Bolsheviks confiscated and destroyed every copy of the Protocols and tortured to death or shot anyone found in possession of it. Rough handling, indeed, for having a book that the Jews claimed was nothing more than a simple forgery! However, the Jews could not suppress the Protocols in the West because Victor E. Marsden, who had been a special correspondent in Russia and was well versed in the language, had translated them from the Russian. This translation was then published all over the world. Since the Jews could not suppress it in the free world, first, they poisoned Marsden and

then they switched to Plan B.

4

Plan B was an all-out denial that the Protocols were genuine. "It's a lie! It's a forgery! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!" became their new wail. Flocks of Ruffle Crested Jews descended upon libraries and research centers looking for anything that they could use to discredit the Protocols. They dared not debate the contents of the Protocols but they could try to discredit them in their entirety since the Jews are experts with slanders and smears. They did not want to debate the contents of the Protocols because they would lose any honest debate. But they could at least use their hind legs to scratch dirt over them.

What is a protocol? The word "protocol" means a draft of a document or the summation of the minutes of meetings. Therefore, the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion is the substance of discussions given in the innermost circle of the world's leading Jews condensed into a succinct form. While the Jews could not suppress the Protocols in the West, their strategy was the same as what a Jewish lawyer uses when he is losing a case, that is, he jumps from defending a losing case to trying to discredit the character of the opponent. Once the lying rabbis and the thieving Jewish bankers had agreed on their strategy, the flocks of Common Ruffle Crested Jews flew off to their caves and belfries around the world and began a systematic attack on the authenticity of the Protocols.

However, what the People did not understand was that no matter how many hundreds and thousands of pages of lies and red herrings that the Ruffle Crested Jews wrote concerning the Protocols, and no matter how many millions of times they denied them to be legitimate, no matter every imaginable combination of theories that they concocted as to their origination, the Jews never wanted to discuss the actual contents of the Protocols. Discussion of the contents was forbidden. Yet, this tactic was not something that the Jews invented on the spur of the moment; it was a tactic used in the

Protocols, themselves.

Protocol 14 states:

"Our philosophers will discuss all the shortcomings of the various beliefs of the goyim. But no one will ever bring under discussion our faith from its true point of view since this will be fully learned by none save ours who will never dare to betray its secrets."

Never, never, did they want to argue about the actual contents of the Protocols because the disadvantage to themselves would be too great to overcome. Not only would discussion of the contents lead to embarrassing revelations about their political and financial shenanigans but would bring to the forefront an inquiry into Judaism as well. If the contents proved to be true, then that would also prove the Jews to be liars and deceivers and betrayers. Since all of the evidence was so overwhelming as to the truth that the Protocols unveiled, discussing the contents of the Protocols would spell their doom. Discussing the contents would uncover all of the ancient swindles and scams that had given the Jews such a profitable advantage over other peoples. However, all of these undesirable conclusions could be easily avoided by attacking the entire Protocols as a forgery. Thus, a deeper inspection of Judaism or the true beliefs of the Ruffle Crested Jews could be avoided. They would blame a thousand different people for forging the Protocols, but not even one of those scapegoats would be a Jew.

The only problem with this tactic is that a forgery must have some original document upon which the forger had based his work. The Jews certainly did not want to produce any original Jewish documents since that would be even more damning. Their

5

ploy was to claim that the Protocols had been forged and plagiarized from unrelated documents by Gentiles who had a malicious hatred towards the innocent Jews and who wished to do them harm. In their own library research, the Jews found the works of

numerous men and their writings that would suit their purposes. However, before discussing these alleged forgers, it would be best to ask three questions. (1) What is a forgery? (2) Who are these Jews who were accusing other people of forging important documents? And (3) Can forgery be proved merely by telling lies about having proof or do you actually have to have the proof?

First, a forgery is "the making, modification or reproduction of a document, a signature, or money with the intent to deceive. An item is also considered forged if it is claimed that it was made by someone who did not make it."

Okay, so much for definitions. We know what a forgery is. So, now, who are the Jews who were accusing other people of forging important documents? A study of the Old Testament, the Babylonian Talmud and the evidence of modern archeology answers that question.

According to the Jewish religion, stealing from a non-Jew is not really stealing because God gave the whole world to the Jews. Thus, according to the Common Ruffle Crested Jews, everything on earth already belongs to the Jews. The Jews assume that the property of other people is actually the property of the Jews. The only trick is to steal it without causing too much consternation from their victims. The rabbis teach the Ruffle Crested Jews to steal whatever they can get away with. The only stipulation is that they are allowed only to steal from Gentiles but are not allowed to steal from fellow Jews. For them to steal from Gentiles is a gift from their god but stealing from fellow Jews is a sin. And by working in gangs and family cartels and big corporations with some Jews stealing and the rest of them hiding the loot and protecting the thief, the Ruffle Crested Jews have been able to amass huge fortunes over the centuries, composed entirely of other peoples' money.

With these rabbinic principles as their guiding beacon, when the Jews who wrote the Bible plagiarized the Sumerian and Babylonian stories of Noah and the Flood, the Garden of Eden, the Creation Epic, the Egyptian tales of magic, the Laws of Hammurabi,



and then wrote them into the Five Books of Moses, the Jewish scribes didn't footnote their thefts. When the Jews plagiarized the Egyptian and Babylonian wisdom books to write the Songs of Solomon, the book of Proverbs and the Book of Job, they did not use any footnotes as to their sources but merely copied what they liked and put their own name on it. Huge sections of the Old Testament are nothing but forged documents stolen by the Jewish priests from the libraries of Babylonia and Egypt. With these stolen books, they were able to deceive their congregations into believing that such "wisdom" and "righteousness" came from the rabbis. Yet, all of the claims of the rabbis were based on fraud, lies, plagiarism and outright theft.

The Common Ruffle-Crested Jews got a lot of mileage and profits out of those forged and plagiarized Biblical books. Upon reading those books, the Gentiles of the world assumed that the Jews were wise and holy rather than crafty and sly and larcenous. The preening and self-congratulatory Ruffle Crested Jews were in no hurry to correct that misconception because as they strut about, it gives them supreme pleasure to be held in high esteem by the non-Jews of the world. In fact, they insist upon it. Moreover, they squawk if they do not receive enough admiration. High prestige is the only proper way

6

for Gentiles to regard the very people whom God, Himself, chose above all others. According to what they wrote about themselves, anything less than the very highest appreciation for a Jew, the Ruffled Crested Jews have labeled as bigotry, prejudice and an insult to God, which causes them to shriek stridently. And now, these same thieves who had plagiarized and swiped almost every book in their own Hebrew Bible were squawking about the Protocols being forged.

While a low appreciation of Jews is mere bigotry, criticism of Jews in any form is intolerable blaspheme. Criticism throws them into a tizzy. And why? Because criticism of

Jews is the same as criticism of God and His great wisdom of choosing these master thieves and pirates as his special critters – special, that is, according to what the Ruffle Crested Jews wrote about themselves in books that they had stolen and plagiarized.

Criticism of any kind sends the gaggles of Ruffled Crested Jews into cacophonous screeching and cursing and spitting. They make so much noise, in fact, that all of the other creatures of the jungle slap their hands and paws and claws over their ears, screw their pain-filled eyes tight, and say nothing critical at all, in hopes that the Ruffle Crested Jews will just please shut up and stop yelling. But the Ruffle Crested Jews never shut up until all criticism of them is locked behind silent lips. Only then, can they strut about and preen peacefully in the knowledge that they are so wonderful as to be above all earthly criticism or censure.

In this way, through natural selection over the centuries, the Common Ruffle Crested Jews have evolved a special kind of self-esteem based, not upon their own merits, but based entirely upon the erroneous views of the non-Jews whom they have succeeded in deceiving with their forged books – and then browbeating them into silence. This Darwinian result has enabled the Ruffle Crested Jews to swagger about, proudly preening their feathers with their elongated beaks and basking in their own enormous self-esteem. They enjoy, if not the adulation of all other animals, at least the good opinion of themselves that comes from being the only creature in the jungle that no one dares to criticize under penalty of noisy squawks from these odd birds.

This squawking street theater of the Jews has been going on for over 3,000 years during which time, the Ruffle Crested Jews have been plagiarizing and stealing the literary works of other people and putting their own names on the results. Even the famous Ruffle-Crested Jew, Albert Einstein, stole his ideas from the Frenchman, Henri Poincare, and then signed his own name to them just as a good and pious Jew should.

And to protect him, the Jews in the Media kept his thefts hidden and his accusers shunted

to the back pages so that he could enjoy the prestige that is the God-given right of every Ruffle Crested Jew.

However, after several thousand years of stealing and forgery, upon the publication of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, these master forgers and thieves found themselves in the uncomfortable position of trying to prove that someone else forged a book attributed to them! And not just any book, but a book that shows clearly and with minutest detail all of the methods that they have been using for swindling the wealth, destroying the people and subverting the nations of the world with their Jewish perfidy and treason. All of their secret dirty tricks were revealed in one small book. Typically, like clockwork, the Common Ruffle Crested Jews were outraged!

The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion listed with hateful malice all of the scams and subterfuges that the Jews were using upon Mankind. Anyone who read the

7

Protocols knew for certain that the Protocols were a true picture of the political and moral quagmire that was engulfing the world and destroying the People. Anyone who read the Protocols knew for certain that they were reading the blueprint for the destruction of Mankind at the hands of the Jews. Anyone who read the Protocols could see with certainty that these same Machiavellian intrigues were being everywhere performed by the Jews and only by the Jews. With undoubted certainty, everyone who read the Protocols knew that they were true. Thus, it became the new goal of the rabbis, the Jewish financiers and the Jewish politicians to change this certainty into doubt. Yet, even as they were screaming the ancient squawk of the Ruffle Crested Jews, they were hypocritically practicing the very tactics of the Protocols that they were simultaneously disputing. After all, why not? The louder they squawked, the tighter People closed their eyes.

As Protocol #8 states:

We must arm ourselves with all the weapons which our opponents might employ against us. We must search out in the very finest shades of expression and the knotty points of the lexicon of law, justification for those cases where we shall have to pronounce judgments that might appear abnormally audacious and unjust. For it is important that these resolutions should be set forth in expressions that shall seem to be the most exalted moral principles cast into legal form. Our directorate must surround itself with all these forces of civilization among which it will have to work. It will surround itself with publicists, practical jurists, administrators, diplomats and, finally, with persons prepared by a special super-educational training in our special schools.

With their gaggles of writers, researchers and publicists using every shade of expression and twisting up every knotty point, they presented a unified front, all roaring the same primordial scream: "It's a lie! It's a forgery! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!" However, they did not dare oppose the contents of the Protocols because that would just attract further inquiry into the depths of the Jewish conspiracy against Mankind. The only way to conceal the actual contents of the Protocols and to keep their secret schemes and swindles out of the public limelight, was to claim that the Protocols in their entirety were a forgery. And thus, in one fell swoop, negate any belief in the veracity of the secret plans that the Protocols contained. But how could they do so?

This leads us to point (3): Can forgery be proved by telling lies about having proof or do you actually have to have the proof ? It is much worse today but even in the Nineteenth Century, the Jews owned a very large part of the Media outlets of the day. Telegraph, telephone, radio and news services, newspapers and magazines were very much in the hands of the lying Jews. And if they didn't own a publishing house outright, they could control what it published by threatening to call in bank loans or conspiring to boycott advertising revenue, just as they do today.

As Protocol # 7 stated:

We must compel the governments of the goyim to take action in the direction favored by our

widely conceived plan. Already approaching the desired consummation by what we shall represent as public opinion, secretly promoted by us through the means of that so-called "Great Power" – the press, which, with a few exceptions that may be disregarded, is already entirely in our hands.

And just as it is today, when the Jews tell lies, how can you find out about it if all of your sources are also telling the same lies? This is how the Jews tried to "prove" that

8

The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion were a forgery. They did not have any proof. They simply lied about it through their Media Monopoly. What follows, is the trail of their lies and the identification of the actual author of the Protocols.

The Jewish researchers descended like vultures upon the libraries of Europe, searching for something, anything, that could mislead the Gentiles of the world away from knowing the truth about the Protocols. Defending themselves from the actual truths found in the Protocols was not their intention because such a defense would be impossible against the overwhelming evidence of Jewish perfidy in all levels of Society.

But they found something that they could use in a book published in France in 1864. This book was entitled, Dialogue in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu, written by a French lawyer named Maurice Joly who was 43 years old when he first published his book. Simply stated, Joly's book was a discussion of Machiavellian principles as applied to the political methods of 1860s France. It was simply a dialog between two very great political philosophers who held very different and opposite views on what government is and how governments should govern.

This lawyer, Maurice Joly, had spent ten years working as a secretary to a member of the Constituent Assembly at the French Ministry of State. Therefore, he had intimate knowledge of the machinations of both French politics and the schemes of

Napoleon III (Fouis Bonaparte). When he published his book, to avoid the French political censors, he published it anonymously in Belgium and smuggled it into France. However, his authorship was discovered and on April 25, 1865, Joly was sentenced to a prison term of fifteen months for "incitement of hatred and scorn for the government."

Thus, the book that the Jews decided to use to discredit the authenticity of the Protocols, was a book written by an expert on French politics. In his book, which got him into so much trouble, Maurice Joly described the machinations of Fouis Bonaparte and his government. But what Joly did not know was that the Machiavellian schemes that he was observing under this emperor, were the lessons that Fouis Bonaparte was being secretly taught by a very secretive Jewish advisor of his, an advisor who had once been the Minister of Justice. That secret advisor was the very Jew who wrote the Protocols. Thus, any resemblance of Joly's book to the Protocols is not because the Protocols were plagiarized from Joly's book, but Joly's book derived its ideas from observing the government of Fouis Bonaparte who was taught the secrets of the Protocols by this secretive Jewish mentor. Thus, Joly's book does not prove to be the basis of the Protocols. What Joly's book proves is that the Protocols were in existence before Joly wrote his book.

As the title suggests, Joly's book was an imaginary dialog between Machiavelli and Montesquieu. But who were these two people?

Every single politician in the world today, has read the works of Niccolo Machiavelli (1469-1527 AD). In fact, no politician would fail to read Machiavelli because his political career as well as his very life would not endure without falling victim to those expert politicians who had read Machiavelli's books. Such is the importance of Niccolo Machiavelli to modern politicians who consider Machiavelli as the father of modern political theory.

The greatest source of Machiavelli's reputation is, of course, The Prince (1532). The main theme of this short book is that all means may be used for the establishment

and preservation of political power – the end justifies the means – and that even the

9

worst and most treacherous acts of the ruler are justified by the wickedness and treachery of the ruled. The Prince is so filled with diabolical intrigues, admiration for political assassination, betrayal, deceit, and foul crimes of every sort – all for the sake of political power – that it was condemned by Pope Clement VIII as being a work of the devil. Every politician today practices the philosophy of Machiavelli which they call “political correctness” in order to hide their treacherous, demonic nature from the People.

Under Papal censure, plus the fact that printing presses were still not very common at that time, Machiavelli’s books remained obscure for two hundred and fifty years. The first great edition of his works was published in 1782. From that period, his fame as the founder of political science has steadily increased while the chaos, warfare and political methods of dictatorships and genocides have likewise improved in efficiency. By the time that Maurice Joly wrote his Dialogs in Hell , Machiavelli’s Prince and other works had been in circulation in Europe for only about eighty years, during which time its methods were well appreciated and utilized by the ruling monarchs and princes.

Thus, Maurice Joly’s Dialogs in Hell used the teacher of kings and the most famous of modern political scientists as a main character. Who, then, was Montesquieu? While Machiavelli was considered the diabolical side of politics, Montesquieu can be considered as representing the benevolent and humane side of politics.

Charles-Louis de Secondat, baron de La Brede et de Montesquieu (1689-1755 AD), was a French social commentator and political thinker who lived during the Enlightenment. By 1776, Montesquieu’s writings were the most frequently quoted works on government and politics in colonial British America, cited more often by the

American founders than any other source except for the Bible. Montesquieu taught that government should exist for the benefit of the People. Following the American Revolution, Montesquieu's works remained a powerful influence on many of the American founders, most notably James Madison of Virginia, the "Father of the U.S. Constitution".

You can see that Maurice Joly's Dialogs in Hell combined his own experience in politics as a lawyer, a professional political observer and secretary to the French political assembly. He used as counterpoise in his book the writings and philosophies of Machiavelli, the founder of modern political science, and of Montesquieu, the originator of the basic humane philosophical foundations of the early United States Government.

His book is a dialog between those two political thinkers as he imagined them meeting someplace in the Afterlife. This is what Joly's book is, a dialog between two famous political thinkers as they discussed the affairs of State. Naturally, each takes as his foundation their own political views. Thus, the dialog is a give and take discussion between two extremes of government, one benevolent and the other diabolically designed. And they never talked about Jews.

So, the lying Jews who wanted to prove that the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion was a forgery, had as their basic "proof " a book written by a high-ranking lawyer who used as his protagonists, two of the most famous political philosophers of all time. I say "lying Jews" because when you read the original documents that the Jews used, you will not find any proof at all that the Protocols are a forgery. They did not prove that the Protocols are false; they only proved that the words of the Jews are false.

10

In addition, the Jews found a series of books written by a Hermann Goedsche in 1868 that had a similarity to Joly's Dialogue in Hell. They claimed that Goedsche had



used the Dialogue as a source material and that whoever forged the Protocols copied from Goedsche's books. This was their so-called "proof" that the Protocols were a forgery, a proof based only upon a foggy similarity of one book with the hazy similarity of another book. And behind all of this smoke and fog were the Ruffle Crested Jews cackling about how one foggy notion and one hazy idea was solid proof that they were right.

Other Ruffle Crested Jews created further confusions by claiming that the Protocols were the work of a Russian secret policeman by the name of Golovinski. But their claim that a police officer of the Czarist government wrote them based upon Joly's book, has three serious flaws. These three are the same flaws found in every single example of alleged plagiarism that the Jews have concocted.

In the first place, there is very little in Joly's book, less than five percent, that can be identified with the contents of the Protocols.

The second flaw in what the Jews claim, concerns the very nature of forgeries. Forgers try to pass off a fake by making it as convincing as possible so that the deception is not discovered. Today, it is very, very difficult to find a copy of Joly's hundred and fifty year-old book. But in 1897, the book was relatively common. So, why would a secret policeman in 1897, write a book (the Protocols ) that directly quoted another book (Joly's Dialogs in Hell ) which could be easily found in a library? If he wanted to write a forgery, then why write 95% of the Protocols himself and then plagiarize the 5% that could easily be found out? What is more likely is that the measly one or two paragraphs of the Protocols that can vaguely be attributed to Joly was copied by someone who didn't care if anyone discovered his plagiarism or not. The only one who would not care if anyone objected to his thefts would be a Jew who was writing for a strictly Jewish audience.

And the third flaw in the Ruffled Crested Jews claim that a Russian wrote the Protocols is this: No policeman working for the Czar would have had the complex

understanding of world events and financial swindles that are carefully explained in the Protocols. The few lines that the Jews falsely claim were plagiarized, are only vaguely similar to one another and not at all direct copies; thus, they do not qualify as plagiarism. But if someone had actually plagiarized a few lines of Maurice Joly's book, then it was someone who didn't care if his theft was found out. He was not writing a forgery; he was using a few lines of Machiavellian scheming to add to the ninety-five percent of his original writings. Only a Jew could have written that book, a Jew who was a member of the conspiracy, itself. And the few lines that may have been borrowed from Maurice Joly's book were not taken by a forger; they were taken by someone who didn't care if the theft was discovered or not, someone whose secretive organization would only show copies of the Protocols to its innermost initiates. In fact, there was such a Jew living in Paris at that time who not only knew all of the richest Jews in Europe on a first-name basis but who knew Maurice Joly as well.

Moreover, all of the false accusations concerning authorship are typical to the basic dishonesty of the Jewish Intellectual. In their attempts to scapegoat a non-Jewish author, they were purposely hiding the overall fact that every political writer in Europe - including the author of the Protocols - had been influenced by Machiavelli. Although his

11

influence was common to them all, these intellectually deceitful Jews were attempting to scoot Machiavelli to the sidelines entirely so that they could target a less influential individual. They did not want to claim Machiavelli as a basis because his theories were generally incorporated into all general political theories of the day.

Blood is what they wanted not philosophical generalizations. Blood could only be squeezed out of recent or living authors but not out of those who had been dead for 500 years. So, they ignored Machiavelli's general influence and instead sought out authors

who had lived around the time that the Protocols had been published. They wanted specific victims whose small writings the flocks of Ruffle Crested Jews could surround and peck at. A very famous author like Machiavelli was too much greatness to challenge for the craven and intellectually cowardly Ruffle Crested Jews.

Okay, let's recap all of this. Montesquieu was a famous French political philosopher whose ideas were incorporated into the United States Constitution. Machiavelli was a famous Italian political philosopher and the founder of political science. Maurice Joly was a professional lawyer and political secretary in the French Government. A secretary naturally reads everything that crosses the desk of his boss and is able to entertain the company of other secretaries and clerks within the French government.

Thus, he was privy to secret and confidential information concerning the machinery of government. From his experience in government and his readings of Montesquieu and Machiavelli, Joly wrote a book in 1864 entitled, Dialogue in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu which described his observations of the government of Louis Bonaparte. Okay, these are the basic facts of the case.

But if the Protocols are a forgery of Joly's book, then what were the innocent Jews doing during these times? The Ruffle Crested Jews were doing many things and none of them were good. For example:

- By 1791, the Rothschilds had set up a central bank called the First Bank of the United States through their agent in George Washington's cabinet, a Jew named Alexander Hamilton. With this bank, they could siphon off the wealth of the new American Republic.
- By 1793, the Jews had successfully fomented the French Revolution through their Jacobin societies, had had the French king beheaded, eliminated the ability of the Catholic Church to collect tithes and made themselves owners of French properties.
- By 1797, the Jews financed Napoleon (a Gentile Freemason) who emancipated

the Jews of Venice and set the Jews free from the restrictions of the ghetto.

- In 1800, the Jews Benjamin Seixas, Isaac Gomez, Alexander Zuntz, and Ephraim Hart co-found the Stockbrokers Guild, later to become the New York Stock Exchange.

- In 1811, the charter for the Rothschild's Bank of the United States expired and Congress voted against its renewal. So, Nathan Mayer Rothschild, who held British Bonds and Securities, orders the War of 1812 to coerce and impoverish the Americans.

- In 1815, upon receiving advanced news of Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, Nathan Rothschild had 48 hours in which to swindle the entire English economy and to gain the ownership of all of English industry before news of Napoleon's defeat reached England.

12

- In 1816, the American Congress passed a bill permitting yet another Rothschild dominated central bank, which gave the Rothschilds control of the American money supply once again. This was called the Second Bank of the United States. The British war against the America therefore ended.

- In 1823, after 30 years of not being able to collect tithes, the Catholic Church gave over its worldwide financial operations to the Rothschilds.

- In 1837, the Rothschilds sent one of their own Jews, August Belmont, to America as their agent to invest in railroads, lumber, mining, factories and cotton.

- In 1840, the Rothschilds become the Bank of England's bullion brokers. They set up agencies in California and Australia. And they controlled the Ahnaden mercury mines of Spain which will supply mercury to the California and Australian gold fields.

- In 1840, the Jews of Damascus ritually murdered the Catholic priest, Father Thomas.

- In 1848, the Jew, Karl Marx published the Communist Manifesto and a wave of Jew-financed and Communist-inspired socialist revolts swept through Europe.
- In 1854, the Republican Party of the USA was formed as an alliance of socialists, abolitionists, feminists, and anti-Masons. German Jews were founders of the Republican Party in New York, Chicago, Philadelphia and other major cities.
- In 1864, the Rothschild's agent, August Belmont, became the Democratic Party's National Chairman. The Jews became the major underwriters of government bonds to the Union. Slavery was abolished in order to bankrupt the South and America was forced into a Civil War where the North was financed by the Rothschilds of France and the South was financed by the Rothschilds of England.
- In 1865, Jacob Schiff, a Rothschild relative, born in their house in Frankfurt, arrived in America at the age of 18 with the instructions and the finances necessary to buy into an American banking house. In later years, he and his fellow Jew bankers financed the Bolshevik Revolution.

And so, by 1864, when Joly's book was first published, the Jews of Europe had already achieved a large number of successful and very profitable scams and swindles. They were experts at business and the manipulation of stock prices. They controlled governments through bond sales. Their operations were worldwide. They had control of British, French and American finances and with the swindled money, they could manipulate governments and bribe government officials to do their will. These were the Jews of 1864, a sophisticated gang of international banking swindlers and political conspirators.

Maurice Joly was a mere neophyte and his book does not even approach the complicated scams outlined in the Protocols. His book shows no understanding of money and the effects of State debt for the moneylenders. The paying of state debt from borrowed money is claimed, by Joly's ideas through Machiavelli, to be accomplished

through deceit and the juggling of books. He didn't understand the inherent swindle of government bonds. However, these defects are not at all present in the smooth calculations and schemes found in the Protocols. They are two books of entirely different qualities. So, where did the Protocols come from?

The Protocols were in existence before the year 1884 when a woman by the name of Justine Glinka was doing intelligence work for the Russian government in France. In

13

tracing out various lines of secret information, she heard about the Protocols and learned that copies were on file in the archives of the Mizraim Masonic Lodge of Paris.

The Mizraim Lodge had been under the leadership of the Jewish Grand Master of the Masonic Grand Orient and the Scottish Rite, Adolphe Isaac Cremieux (1796-1880). According to Edith Starr Miller's Occult Theocracy "Cremieux's racial Jewish activities are exemplified by the part he took in the Damascus Affair with Moses Montefiore, a Jew [banker] of England, when Jewry successfully but unconvincingly silenced the accusation of ritual murder committed upon the Catholic priest, Father Thomas at Damascus in 1840. He had a prominent share in the foundation and development of the Alliance Israelite Universelle. Officially founded in 1860, this international union of disseminated Jewry, as we know, had existed for centuries. But after the Damascus Affair, the Jewish leaders knew that they had attained sufficient power to feel enabled to show to the whole world that although the civil rights they enjoyed had been granted them by different countries, the real allegiance of each and every one of them was due to their Jewish nationality" and the huge fortunes that they had swindled from the Gentiles.

"The Masonic activities of Adolphe Cremieux were many and powerful. He became a member of the provisional government and was appointed Minister of Justice. He strongly advocated the candidature of his friend, Louis Napoleon, for the post of

President of the French Republic. Cremieux had had hopes of being made Chief Executive under Louis Napoleon and thus play in France the same role that Disraeli played in England, that is, ruling the country from behind the scenes. Both Disraeli and Cremieux had the same financial backing, namely the wealth of the Rothschilds and Montefiores, who, in London, were friends of Disraeli and, in Paris, friends of Cremieux.

"In this as in all his lifelong activities, Cremieux was only obeying the teachings of the Talmud and trying to destroy every religion but that contained in Judaism. His favorite theme was that there should be only one cult – and that cult should be Jewish.

At a general assembly of the Alliance Israelite Universelle, on May 31, 1864, Cremieux had said: 'The Alliance is not limited to our cult, it voices its appeal to all cults and wants to penetrate in all the religions as it has penetrated into all countries. Let us endeavor boldly to bring about the union of all cults under one flag of Union and Progress. Such is the slogan of humanity.

But when a Jew talks about "humanity", he is talking only about fellow Jews since it is a Jewish religious belief that only Jews are human and all other peoples are "goyim", or non-Jewish insects and cattle. Therefore, couched in the secret Jewish doublespeak, Cremieux was advocating in his speech the subordination of all religions under Judaism. His activities are one of the clearest examples of Jewish internationalism and Jewish efforts for the realization of their Messianic ideal. The Alliance Israelite Universelle, issued from the Rite of Mizraim plus Universal Freemasonry. And it was subsidized by International Jewish Finance. Its goal was to spell the doom of Christian civilization, the destruction of nationalism, the death of nations upon whose ruin they wanted to erect a Jewish star.

Thus, Adolphe Cremieux had been friends and associates with the richest and most powerful Jewish bankers and industrialists and publishers of his time. Although definite proof is impossible to find, it is my contention that Adolphe Cremieux is the

actual author of the Protocols. He had the inside information, the international friendship of the richest Jewish power players of his day, the Masonic connections to his co-

14

conspirators among the Gentiles, his first-hand experience of government as the Minister of Justice for all of France, and the Talmudic malice and Jewish vindictiveness that the Protocols so well exhibit. He undoubtedly was in attendance to the very most powerful and secretive meetings among the wealthy Jews of his day. And between the Damascus Affair in 1840 and the founding of the Alliance Israelite Universelle in 1860, he had the ability and plenty of time to combine his knowledge of Jewish finance and Jewish politics to write the Protocols for his innermost disciples. One of his innermost disciples was Napoleon III, Louis Bonaparte, the very ruler whose intrigues were described by Maurice Joly in Dialogue in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu. Thus, the Protocols were already in existence before Joly wrote his book.

After Cremieux's death in 1880, there was enough of a power vacuum at the Masonic Lodge of Mizraim that a relaxation of its secretive nature must have been felt by some of its members. Miss Glinka is reported to have received two thousand five hundred francs from the Russian government which she gave to a Jew by the name of Joseph Schorst, who was a member of the Mizraim lodge. Having access to its secret files, Schorst was able to obtain the Protocols which Miss Glinka immediately transmitted to St. Petersburg. Thus, by 1884, the Protocols had been stolen by a Jew from the Masonic Mizraim lodge of Paris and sold to an agent of the Czarist Government. According to the French police records, Schorst was murdered in Egypt soon after this transaction was completed.

Mrs. L. Fry of London, the wife of a Russian nobleman, says in her book, *Flowing Eastward*, "Meantime, through Jewish members of the Russian secret police,



minutes of the proceedings of the Basel Congress in 1897 had been obtained and these were found to correspond with the Protocols ."

Miss Glinka kept a copy of the Protocols and when she returned to her home in the Orel district of Russia she gave them to a government official by the name of Alexis Sukhotin who in turn showed them to two friends, Philip Stepanov and Sergius A. Nilus. This was in the year 1897. Realizing their importance, Stepanov had them printed at once for private circulation among his intimate friends. The first time Nilus published them was in 1901 in a book which he called, The Great Within the Small. He reprinted them again in 1905.

The following statement was written by Nilus in 1905: "In our day, all the governments of the entire world are consciously or unconsciously submissive to the commands of this great super-government of Zion, because all the bonds and securities are in its hands; for all countries are indebted to the Jews for sums which they will never be able to pay. All affairs – industry, commerce, and diplomacy – are in the hands of Zion. It is by means of its capital loans that it has enslaved all nations. By keeping education on purely materialistic lines, the Jews have loaded the Gentiles with heavy chains with which they have harnessed them to their 'Super Government'. The end of national liberty is near, therefore personal freedom is approaching its close; for true liberty cannot exist where Zion uses the lever of its gold to rule the masses and dominate the most respectable and enlightened class of society."

In explaining where he had gotten the original copies of the Protocols, Nilus apparently tried to shield his compatriots who had helped him secure them. He once wrote, "These Protocols were secretly extracted from a whole book full of Protocols. All this was got by my correspondent out of the secret depositories of the Head Chancellery

of Zion. This Chancellery is at present on French territory." The Mizraim Masonic Lodge.

When the Jewish Bolshevik dictatorship was established in Moscow it became a crime punishable by death for anyone to be found owning a copy of the Protocols. The Jewish Cheka of the city of Kiev arrested Nilus in 1924 and subjected him to severe tortures.

Whoever wrote the Protocols, their contents show that tremendous intellectual powers and a vast knowledge of international finance and political intrigue were behind their preparation, all skills and knowledge that Adolphe Cremieux had had in abundance. These documents reach to the very depth of economic, political and international affairs. They reveal a political, religious and economic attack upon the Gentile nations, which, if not counteracted by some opposing force, will ultimately deliver the entire world into the hands of a small group of Jewish bankers and financiers.

In 1921, Henry Ford said, "The only statement I care to make about the Protocols is that they fit in with what is going on. They are sixteen years old, and they have fitted the world situation up to this time. They fit now." And now, nearly a century later, modern readers can easily see that the Protocols exactly describe the present turmoil in the modern world as well as who is behind this turmoil. Regardless of who wrote them, they contain a precise description of Reality. Their contents are true, only their authorship is in question .

Nevertheless, a precise description of Reality is, to a Jew, not Reality at all but, rather, it is anti-Semitic bigotry. When The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion was first published, the Common Ruffle Crested Jews of the world began their ancient ear-piercing shrieks: "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!" And they used this strident warble while rudely shoving their big beaks into every discussion of the Protocols. The Jews had written almost the entire Old Testament based upon forged documents and now they were trying to defend themselves against a truthful description

of their criminal methods in the Protocols by claiming that the Protocols was, itself, a forgery. And for proof, they claimed that it was a forgery based upon Maurice Joly's book and Hermann Goedsche's books, but certainly not upon anything written by a Jew.

Hold on, just one moment. The Jews claimed that they could prove that the Protocols were a forgery. Okay, the people of the world were interested in seeing this proof. If they are a forgery then what was their proof? Whoops! This is the difficult part for the Jews because proof is something that you present to people, something that can be held in the hands and inspected for one's self. But did the Jews offer such proof? No, they did not. They offered, instead of real proof, the promise of various Jews who claimed that they had found the proof that the Protocols were fake. Wait a minute! Say that again.

Instead of actual proof, as they claimed to have, the Jews offered the title of some obscure books that no body could find. Since nobody could find those books, then the Jews were happy to tell you what was in the books in their own words.

I am not sure that I understand this. If the Jews had proof but they did not show the proof, then how could they claim to have any proof at all? That was the simple part of their scheme – they lied about it. The newspapers of the world announced the “discovery of proof” without offering the proof, itself. Since the People only had an announcement of a discovery but not the actual proof, then they had to accept the

16

announcement of the discovery as their only proof that a discovery had actually been found. Here is how that particular Jewish swindle worked.

Various, strutting, Ruffle Crested Jews who were in the writing and publishing business and who had a certain prestige for being Grand Poobahs of publishing, announced that they had found the proof that the Protocols were a forgery by comparing

the works of Maurice Joly's and Hermann Goedsche's books with the Protocols. They announced that they had found "many plagiarisms" and that these plagiarisms "proved" that the Protocols were a forgery.

In this, once again, the Jews were following the schemes of the Protocols in order to prove that the Protocols were forgeries. As Protocol #5 states:

Moreover, the art of directing masses and individuals by means of cleverly manipulated theory and verbiage, by regulations of life in common and all sorts of other quirks, in all which the goyim understand nothing, belongs likewise to the specialists of our administrative brain. Reared on analysis, observation, on delicacies of fine calculation, in this species of skill we have no rivals, any more than we have either in the drawing up of plans of political actions and solidarity.

Most of the people of the world were deceived by these Jewish lies. After all, if someone found proof, then that proved it, did it not? A proof is something that reveals the truth, does it not? Instead of the Jews using nothing more than their noisy squawks and squeals to deny the validity of the Protocols, now they were claiming that they had solid proof that the Protocols were a forgery. All of the Jewish newspapers and magazines were reporting that such a proof had been found. So, it must be true that the Protocols are a forgery since proof is proof and there's no way to get around solid proof, right?

Okay, so what is the "proof" and where is it? It consists of nothing more than the word of the Jews because when you actually read the books of Maurice Joly and Hermann Goedsche for yourself, you can see that the Jews are liars. Those books contain nothing that is a plagiarism at all. There are a few vague and general similarities that would be found in any book that used Machiavellian methods in its philosophy. But that is all. The proof isn't there. What is in Joly's book, is a description of the Protocols being used by the government of Louis Bonaparte as early as 1864 - almost forty years before they were published in Russia.

Even in modern times, finding obscure and out-of-print Nineteenth Century books

is difficult although computers have greatly increased the success rate. But in the 1920s, for an American or even a European to be able to find rare and out-of-print copies of Joly and Goedsche's books, was nearly impossible. Therefore, the People had to rely on the Jews to tell us what the books contained. Typically, the Jews lied about it.

A Jew by the name of Lucien Wolf is a good example – and an oft-quoted example – of how the Jews lied about their so-called “proof”. In several articles given prominent place in the larger English newspapers in 1921, Wolf-the-lying-Jew first denied that the Jews had anything at all to do with the Bolshevik Revolution then raging in Russia. Behind his transparent fibs, was the ceaseless call of the Ruffle Crested Jew, “Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!” Thus establishing himself as a liar by claiming that the Jews were innocent of the accusations against them of Bolshevism, he goes on to proclaim that the Protocols are a forgery. And how does he do this? He uses one paragraph from Goedsche's book and one paragraph from an even more difficult-to-find pamphlet from a Russian Count Pobyadonoeseff and compares

17

them with just two paragraphs from the Protocols. Are they a match? Are they identical so that plagiarism is proved? Not at all! They only vaguely resemble the general idea of each. They are not plagiarisms at all but are merely vague similarities .

You can read for yourself Wolf s booklet and his comparisons in the links at the end of this article. Maybe you can see a similarity in ideas but ideas cannot be copyrighted; only words can be copyrighted . When you have the original documents to compare for yourself, you can see that Wolfs comparison actually proves that the Protocols are not a plagiarism of the works of Joly and Goedsche or of anyone else. The Protocols are an original work that reflects original ideas that may or may not have been influenced by Machiavelli.

A work that is influenced is not plagiarized. This is a fact that was known as early as Aristotle's time: All literature is based upon all that has been previously written whether through original writing, direct copying, plagiarism, simile, metaphor, literary influence, etc. So, to believe that the Protocols are not genuine simply because it has similarities with previously published writings, is to deny all literature as being genuine since all literature is influenced by previously existing writings.

Additionally, what kind of "proof" did the Ruffle Crested Jews offer for their claims? Actual proof was unnecessary. All it took was for a single Jew to announce that he had found "proof" and that was the signal for the rest of the lying Jews to squawk and crow and advertise the alleged "discovery". Worldwide, hundreds of Ruffle Crested Jews wrote lengthy articles published prominently in Jewish newspapers and magazines extolling the "great discovery" that the Protocols are a forgery based upon "a side-by-side comparison". Yes, there was a side-by-side comparison but, in fact, Lucien Wolfs two measly paragraphs compared side-by-side proved nothing. Nevertheless, he claimed that he had found "proof" of a plagiarism and therefore of a forgery. This is all that the Jews needed to launch a worldwide disinformation blitz announcing the "discovery" of a "side by side comparison".

The Jews could get away with their lies during the 1920s and 1930s simply because they all told the same lies and because they knew that the original documents were nearly impossible for the average man to find. Even if some researcher had actually been able to compare the original documents with the Protocols, how could he announce his discovery to the world since the Ruffle Crested Jews owned the publishing houses? Once again, they practiced the schemes of the Protocols even as they denounced them as forgeries.

As Protocol #12 states;

And if there should be any found who are desirous of writing against us, they will not find any person eager to print their productions .... Not a single announcement will reach the public

without our control. Even now this is already being attained by us inasmuch as all news items are received by a few agencies, in whose offices they are focused from all parts of the world. These agencies will then be already entirely ours and will give publicity only to what we dictate to them.

But with the modern Internet, anyone can Google around and find what the Jews don't want you to read. You can read these books for yourself in the links found below.

Remember, Joly's Dialogs in Hell was published in 1864. The Protocols were published in 1905. Within that forty years, according to the Jews, there was plenty of time for someone to use Joly's book as a basis for writing the Protocols. However, there

18

are two problems with this Jewish lie: (1) the Protocols are far more advanced in their diabolical intrigues and maliciousness than what is found in Joly's book. His book is light and elementary reading compared with the dark and malicious broodings of the Protocols which delves into the depths of international political intrigue and financial power. No one who is familiar with the actual beliefs of the Jews, through reading their Babylonian Talmud, can fail to see that whoever wrote the Protocols was a demonic Jew who knew the inner workings of banking and politics. Such a Jew was Adolphe Cremieux.

As for point (2): The Jews have a long history of forgery and plagiarism when it is profitable to them. The very books that they claim were written by the mythical Moses are primarily plagiarisms of Babylonian and Egyptian sources. Such plagiarisms have gotten those criminals an international reputation for "holiness" while nothing that they have ever done can be used as proof that such a reputation was earned. They could not honestly earn a holy reputation, so they plagiarized and stole it from other people. That is the entire basis of the Judaism of the Old Testament, plagiarized books and pilfered mythologies held aloft by strutting Ruffle Crested Jews claiming them as their own.

For the question about who was capable of writing the Protocols; it certainly wasn't some knowledgeable anti-Semite who merely based his writings upon the elementary book of Maurice Joly. It was written by someone who had inside knowledge and a precise understanding of the highest levels of politics and Jewish financial schemes in the entire world . The Jew who wrote the Protocols did not have to read Joly's Dialogs in Hell, since reading Machiavelli would do even better. The Protocols were a blueprint derived not from the elementary writings of a French lawyer, but rather, derived from the vast and complex cogitations of Jews with high governmental and financial positions, Jews who were also familiar with Machiavellian scheming and who were advisors to the government of Fouis Bonaparte.

Then who wrote the Protocols? We may never know that for sure, but it seems improbable that either a Russian mystic or a bigoted Russian policeman or a French lawyer could have come up with an analysis so penetrating, and so unfaillingly prophetic. It is my assertion that they were written by Adolphe Isaac Cremieux, the Grand Master of the Masonic Grand Orient and the Scottish Rite Fodge of Mizaim in France and one of the founders of the Alliance Israelite Universelle. He was on a first name friendship with all of the richest and most powerful Jew bankers and politicians in the world, including the minor French lawyer, Maurice Joly. He would certainly have had all of the necessary intellectual and fiscal requirements for their authorship and it was from his Mizraim Fodge after his death that the Protocols were stolen.

Nevertheless, fifteen years after Fucian Wolf published the lie that he had discovered "proof" and his fellow Jews had announced his "discovery" to the whole world in bold headlines, the people of the world were still not convinced. There was too much happening in the world identical in every way with the blueprint of the Protocols.

If the Protocols were false, then at least one or two things would not be coming into fruition. Or more than likely, if the Protocols were false, then most of what they



described would not happen. However, even with the confusions perpetrated by the squawking lies of the Jews, the People could still see that every, single, thing that the Protocols discussed was a real event. The People of the entire world could see that the Protocols were one hundred percent accurate in everything that was stated. So, how could they be false as the Ruffle Crested Jews claimed?

19

Nobody except the Jews insisted that they were a forgery. Anyone who suggested that the Protocols were genuine, were immediately attacked by flocks of Ruffle Crested Jews diving and swirling around them with their ceaseless squeals and squawks of "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! It's a lie! It's a forgery! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!"

Yes, the People were confused. They were confused by the conflict between what they could actually see with their own eyes and the noisy squawks from the Ruffle Crested Jews buffeting their ears.

Finally, the Ruffle Crested Jews decided that if their false evidence was not enough to convince the goyim , then they would have to use their favorite method of persuasion – oppression through the courts of law. They would have to put the Protocols on trial and prove in court that they were a forgery. With a court ruling, that would give them the power of the state to protect them from accusations. With the power of a judicial ruling, the wily Ruffle Crested Jews knew that they could leverage such a precedent into a legal tool for suing and imprisoning anyone who claimed legitimacy for the Protocols. For thousands of years, the Ruffle Crested Jews had sought the protection of kings and princes and presidents because, with the power of the law behind them, they could ravage and swindle the goyim legally. By making their swindles "legal" by weight of the law and the power of the police to protect them from the wrath of the People, they could steal and betray in safety, all with the blessing of the Jew-corrupted government.

Therefore, the Ruffle Crested Jews needed a legal ruling in their favor with which they could attack, with all of the power of the law, anyone who could think for themselves and who could see that the Protocols were an accurate description of Reality. Such people were dangerous to the admiration that the Ruffle Crested Jews demanded for themselves, and especially dangerous to their continued profiteering from their practice of the Protocols. Through careful scheming, they conceived of a way to get that legal ruling.

Dear Reader, let me ask you a question. What would be your own reaction today if you read in a front-page newspaper headline this same announcement from the "New York Evening Post" of May 14, 1935?

"We rejoice to learn that on May 14, 1935, the Cantonal Court of Berne, Switzerland, openly denounced the so-called 'Protocols of the Elders of Zion' as forgeries, obvious plagiarism, immoral, and manifestly prepared for the purpose of inciting popular passion against the Jews".

What would be your own reaction upon reading giant headlines with such an announcement today? Aren't those headlines proof that the Protocols were a forgery since an actual court of law had put them on trial and found them to be such? What's more, a newspaper publishes the truth, doesn't it? A court of law is fair and unbiased and interested in the truth and nothing but the truth so help you God? Isn't that true? Yes, it is true – except when the lawyers and judges and court reporters and newspaper columnists are all Ruffle Crested Jews conspiring together and telling you lies. Here's how the Ruffle Crested Jews pulled off this particular caper.

Plan B had failed. Failing to persuade even the average literate person that the Protocols were fake, the Ruffle Crested Jews finally realized that their lies and denials

and the red herrings of false information that they had fed to the public through their Media Monopoly were not enough. The People of the world had seen the truth in those Protocols and no amount of squawking and hissing by the covens of kikes could convince them otherwise. Truth is like gold, no matter how much dirt the Ruffle Crested Jews scratched over it, the Truth was still untarnished beneath their smears. So, Plan C went into effect.

The Ruffle Crested Jews decided that slandering and accusing long dead writers such as Joly and Goedsche and Nilus did not work. The People were not convinced and could still see the truth of the Protocols regardless of how much the Jews vilified it. So, they switched to Plan C, finding a living person whom they could persecute and then prosecuting him before a judge. Nevertheless, they had to be careful. They did not want any discussion of the contents of the Protocols to surface. All they wanted was a guilty verdict in a court of law, which they could use to announce to the world a ruling in their favor. The facts of the case did not matter; only a guilty verdict mattered regardless of the facts. And – hypocritically using the very same techniques from the Protocols that they were publicly denouncing and from which they pleaded complete innocence – it didn't matter how the desired guilty verdict was attained since the ends justified the means.

Their end was a guilty verdict while their means were deception, fraud and criminality.

In fact, to prove themselves innocent of authorship of the Protocols, the Jews followed the outline of these very Protocols. By using the methods outlined in the Protocols to prove that the Protocols were false, they actually proved that the Protocols were true even as they denounced them as being false. This might seem confusing but read the Protocols yourself and see how the Jews used such a swindle.

Protocol #5 states:

“In order to put public opinion into our hands we must bring it into a state of bewilderment. By giving expression from all sides to so many contradictory opinions and for such length of time as

will suffice to make the goyim lose their heads in the labyrinth, they will come to see that the best thing is to have no opinion of any kind in matters political, which it is not given to the public to understand, because they are understood only by him who guides the public. This is the first secret."

In other words, for the Jews to control public opinion, they wanted the People to be confused. By offering a huge variety of conflicting opinions on whatever subject that they wanted to control, the Jews could bring the public mind to a state of confusion and then step forward with their own program which they promised was the truth. In this case, the flapping flocks of Ruffle Crested Jews writing stories about various theories and naming names of various historical writers and their works and offering a myriad of theories as to where the Protocols originated, all tended toward giving the People so many conflicting things to think about that no one could figure out the puzzle. No matter whom they claimed was the author of the Protocols - Nilus, Glinka, Sukhotin, Stepanov, Goedsche, Pobyadonoeseff, Katchkowsky, Joly or a hundred other accused forgers - not a single one of them could be a Jew. Anyone but a Jew! Point in a thousand directions but never point to a Jew!

What was even worse than not believing the Jews, no matter what lies they told the People, the People still believed what their leaders such as Henry Ford and Nesta Webster had to say about the Protocols.

21

"Get hold of fifty of the wealthiest Jewish financiers, the men who are interested in making wars for their own profit. Control them, and you will put an end to it."

— Henry Ford,

Cleveland News, September 20, 1923

"Personally, I am more than ever inclined to believe that the Protocols of the

Learned Elders of Zion are genuine. Without them I do not see how one could explain things that are happening today. More than ever, I think the Jews are at the bottom of all our troubles."

– Nesta Webster, in a letter written May 4, 1934, to Arthur Goadby, published in Robert E. Edmondson's "I Testily," page 129.

For the Jews, who made their money swindling the nations of the world through banking scams and financial swindles and import-export frauds, these were serious threats upon the billions of dollars that they made for themselves and shared with the rest of the Jews through their synagogue tithes. The synagogues were distribution networks for the wealth that they had swindled from the Gentiles. In finance, the Gentiles of the world could only go into business by borrowing money at interest from the Jews. But the Jews always had a head start in business because they could borrow money at zero interest to start any kind of business that they wanted. For the Gentiles of the world to discover that the Ruffle Crested Jews were a conspiring gaggle of monopolists and corporate cartels and the most treasonous of betrayers, all disguised as religious and charitable institutions, would mean the end of Jewish finance worldwide. With the end of Jewish finance, all of the power of the Jews over Gentile nations would vanish like mist on a sunny day. Consequently, the Jews of the entire world were in a panic to think that the Protocols would be accepted for what they really are - the truth about international Jewish strategy and tactics.

Therefore, the Ruffle Crested Jews devised Plan C, to put the Protocols on trial in a court of law and to prove them under the authority of a judge to be forgeries. Solid proof is what they wanted even if the proof was as bogus as a rabbi's virtue. Again, they hypocritically followed the blueprint of the Protocols as their guide.

Protocol #5 states:

"In all ages the people of the world, equally with individuals, have accepted words for deeds, for they are content with a show and rarely pause to note, in the public arena, whether promises are

followed by performance. Therefore we shall establish show institutions which will give eloquent proof of their benefit to progress."

For centuries, the Ruffle Crested Jews had hidden their criminal deeds behind their raucous cries of "We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!" Their plaintive whimpers had successfully masked their deeds of larceny and murder because loud shouting so easily distracts from surreptitious crimes. Using this same Protocol, the rabbis and bankers decided that a show trial, a kangaroo court would satisfy their needs. With a show trial, the Ruffle Crested Jews could vociferously swagger and shake their feathers with indignant moral outrage as they pretended to seek the truth while quietly concealing the truth behind corrupt legal decisions. They could promise

22

truth through the sanctity of a courtroom while producing lies that were legitimatised by a crooked judge.

Furthermore, Protocol #5 gave the Jews the very method for distracting the public

mind:

"The principle object of our directorate consists in this: to debilitate the public mind by criticism; to lead it away from serious reflections calculated to arouse resistance; to distract the forces of the mind towards a sham fight of empty eloquence."

No one could offer more of a sham fight than Jewish lawyers who were guaranteed a victory by a corrupt judge. The Ruffle Crested Jews decided that since the People were confused by all of the conflicting theories that their Jewish writers had produced, then the People wouldn't notice that a show trial was being substituted for a genuine trial, especially when accompanied by the distracting ruckus caused by the noisy cries of the Ruffle Crested Jews of the Media.

To insure that the so-called "trial" would have the desired result, Berne,

Switzerland offered the best venue. Switzerland had an international reputation for honesty and fairness and it was always a neutral country in international disagreements. Neutral was good because neutral meant that there would be no bias in the decision, as the people of the world were led to believe. Therefore, Switzerland was the perfect place for a court decision that would be accepted by the people of the world as valid and fair. In addition, Switzerland is where the First Zionist Congress had been held. So, it had a symbolic importance to world Jewry as a place to show off their power, honesty, virtue and Godly holiness, to show the world what they could do as organized, innocent Jews. Switzerland in 1935 was secluded enough that only wealthy Ruffle-Crested Jews could afford to travel there to gloat, so there was less chance of outside observers discovering their hoax. Because of its relative isolation, the people of the world would have to rely upon the honest, sincere and beyond reproach word of the Jews for their news of the trial.

Although the Ruffle Crested Jews used the legal system of Beme to "prove" that the Protocols was a forgery, what those sly birds kept as their own special secret was that they were using those very Protocols that they were denouncing as their method of defense! They chose Berne, Switzerland, not only for its reputation of neutral honesty but also for the dishonesty of a corrupt judge. As Protocol #15 states:

"In general, our judges will be elected by us only from among those who thoroughly understand that the part they have to play is to punish and apply laws and not to dream about the manifestations of liberalism at the expense of the educational scheme of the State.... In these days, the judges of the goyim create indulgences to every kind of crimes, not having a just understanding of their office, because the rulers of the present age in appointing judges to office take no care to inculcate in them a sense of duty and consciousness of the matter which is demanded of them."

The judge that they chose was one of their own, a certain Judge Meyer. "Meyer" is both a Jewish name and a Gentile name. So, whether he was a Jew or not, whether he was a member of the Masons or not, is unknown. However, he was a known Communist.

Since Communism was one of the Jewish political shams, he was certainly a friend of the Ruffle Crested Jews and of the prosecution. This was not a good sign for the defense because as Protocol #15 states:

23

"Under our influence the execution of the laws of the goyim has been reduced to a minimum. The prestige of the law has been exploded by the liberal interpretations introduced into this sphere. In the most important and fundamental affairs and questions, judges decide as we dictate to them."

With their own judge to insure their victory, the only requirement was to find a victim to put on trial. Ordered to find an appropriate victim by the Kahel of New York, the Ruffle Crested Jews of Berne, Switzerland, found two appropriate sacrifices. It did not matter that they were just small and unimportant men selling pamphlets on the street. In fact, poor victims are the preferred prey of the Ruffle Crested Jews since poor men cannot afford expensive trials. Nothing mattered except finding them guilty and announcing the judge's decision to the world. The goal was to stop the circulation of the Protocols and to have them declared a forgery in a court of law, a neutral court of law, an honest court of law, a Swiss court of law.

But there was no law against selling copies of the Protocols in Switzerland. It was, after all, a political booklet with the same protections under the law as any other political treatise. The Jewish lawyers knew that, so they twisted the law in order to haul their victims before their sympathetic Communist Judge Meyer. The four victims were members of Swiss nationalist and anti-Jewish movements who had been selling copies of the Protocols to their fellow Swiss. And there was no law against doing so.

In order to get their victims into Judge Meyer's courtroom, the United Jewish Communities of Switzerland and the Jewish Synagogue of Beme falsely charged the four Swiss patriots with selling literature that broke Article 14 of the Beme Cantonal Law



forbidding the circulation of matter likely to incite to crime, lead to immorality, shock the sense of decency, or provoke to depravity in any manner whatsoever. In other words, they were charged with selling indecent and immoral literature. The Ruffle Crested Jews could not get them into court for selling the Protocols but by claiming that the Protocols were immoral and indecent literature, Communist Judge Meyer was happy to put them on trial.

Once again, even while the Jews were denouncing the Protocols as a forgery, they were simultaneously following the blueprint of the Protocols to the letter. As Protocol #9 states:

Above the existing laws without substantially altering them, and by merely twisting them into contradictions of interpretations, we have erected something grandiose in the way of results. These results found expression in the fact that the interpretations masked the law: afterwards they entirely hid them from the eyes of the governments owing to the impossibility of making anything out of the tangled web of legislation.

And so, the Jews of Switzerland twisted the law governing lascivious literature in order to draw the local distributors of the Protocols into a trap. It was not merely the local Jews of Switzerland who were annoyed with these patriotic Swiss booklet sellers. They were not acting alone because they had powerful Jewish friends waiting in the wings. The Ruffle Crested Jews are an international menagerie of some very rich old birds.

In June of 1933, the famous Berne Trial began. Sylvio Schnell and three friends were brought before Judge Meyer and charged with distributing obscene literature. But the Jews were not interested in the Protocols being declared as obscene literature, they were interested in a judgment of forgery. Now that the Jew lawyers had their victims in

court, after various adjournments, Judge Meyer permitted the case to be enlarged by the complainants from a simple police court action into a question of the history and authenticity of the Protocols.

When they realized the true nature of the kangaroo court arrayed against them, the defendants secured an adjournment to enable them to bring material in defense. Various anti-Jewish bodies rallied to the support of the defendants. But this was not to be a simple trial over the distribution of obscene literature, this was to be an Inquisition by which the Jews intended to gain a guilty verdict that would confuse and deceive the people of the entire world. It was not a simple vengeance of the local Swiss Jews, it was a trap in which the biggest Ruffe Crested Jews in Europe conspired.

On October 29 and 30, 1934, the Court heard an array of sixteen witnesses for the Jews affirming that the Protocols were a forgery. Chief among these witnesses were Dr. Chaim Weizmann, president of the World Zionist Organization and the Jewish World Agency, and Dr. Ehrenpries, chief rabbi of Sweden. International Jewry was ruffling its feathers and strutting its best stuff. Part of the so-called "evidence" was a rebuttal of the allegation that the Protocols emanated from the minutes of the first Zionist Congress in 1897. But the question of origin of the Protocols was also traversed. From an innocuous accusation of selling obscene literature, a simple municipal offence, the trial had been expanded into a trial of the Protocols, themselves. And among these sixteen Jews, at least ten of them committed perjury with outright lies.

Now, let's make sure that we understand the proceeding of the Swiss courts. To assure fairness in all proceedings and to make all decisions and testimony available for cross checking of facts, Swiss law requires depositions to be taken of all evidence and signed by the witnesses. Thus, a witness must not only give a verbal testimony but they must sign a transcript of their testimony. If any of their statements are later challenged in court, then there is a written record duly signed by them as proof of their testimony.

To evade this procedure, Judge Meyer allowed the Jews to provide their own

private stenographers to take shorthand notes of the trial. When the trial was over, since these were private secretaries, they took their notes with them , leaving no record for the court archives. So, the prosecuting Jews in Judge Meyer's court could say whatever they wanted on the stand, but their testimony was not written into the official records and they had nothing to sign as a guarantee of their truthfulness. In other words, they could lie with no evidence of their lies ever remaining on record. The only record of their evidence was unsigned notes taken by their own lawyer.

Judge Meyer decreed that three experts be appointed to report on the authenticity of all documents. One, M. Loosli, was appointed by the Court, Professor Baumgarten was nominated by the Jews, and Col. Fleischauer by the defendants. So, two Jews were set against one patriot in voting on whether a document was authentic or not.

Against the lies of the sixteen Jews, the defendants in November, 1934, nominated forty witnesses whom they wished to call. But on March 26, 1935, Judge Meyer informed their expert Col. Fleischaver that he would rule out any motion to summon more witnesses. In other words, after the sixteen Jews had given their testimony, the defendants were not allowed to have any witnesses speak in their defense or to produce any evidence. Only Col. Fleischauer was allowed to speak for the defendants.

Stranger still, was that on February 25 the Fondon "Jewish Daily Post" in a message from Switzerland stated that Judge Meyer had decided to hear no more

25

witnesses. Judge Meyer's own notes to this effect were not made until February 27, and was not communicated to the defense until March 26; yet the correspondent of a Jewish newspaper had had advance information to send a telegram away on February 24, three days before Judge Meyer's decision and a full month before the defense was notified.

On April 15 Dr. Ruef, counsel for defense, applied for permission to bring actions for perjury against ten of the sixteen witnesses on the Jewish side. In May, Judge Meyer also refused this application. The grounds for refusal being that these witnesses had "merely given expression to their personal opinion and judgment." The defendants protested in vain that it was not expressions of opinion but the actual lies of the Jewish witnesses that they challenged. However – surprise, surprise – since their testimony was not transcribed or signed, there was no legal record of the Jewish evidence on which to base a prosecution for perjury! The Jews had lied and gotten away with it because their private secretaries had walked out of court with the transcripts in their pockets. Nevertheless, in giving his decision, Communist Judge Meyer accepted the Jews' statements as valid.

Finally, after hearing argument by the three court appointed experts, two Jews against one patriot, Judge Meyer gave his decision. This was that three of the defendants were acquitted, and the fourth was fined 20 francs for circulating literature in contravention of Article 14 of the Berne Cantonal Law respecting indecent and immoral literature. Thus, the required guilty verdict was attained. This defendant was also ordered to bear 10,000 francs court costs. Another defendant was fined 50 francs and 18,000 francs court costs for selling a pamphlet which did not contain the Protocols. The heavy costs represented mainly the expense of bringing the Jewish witnesses from abroad to testify. This was Jewish "justice" at its best, perjuring themselves and falsely accusing their victims, and then charging them for travel expenses.

With respect to the authenticity of the Protocols, Judge Meyer said: "The defendants had been unable to prove that the Protocols of the Elders of Zion were a genuine document." As to the origin of the Protocols, Judge Meyer said: "The Protocols are a forgery; they were forged by General Katchkowsky." This was the case advanced by Professor Baumgarten, the Jewish expert, even though the defendants had proved that his testimony was false.

This was exactly what the Ruffle Crested Jews had planned. The kangaroo court, breaking all legal rules of jurisprudence, announced its decision to the world on May 14, 1935. Once again, something strange happened. Although the Court's decision was announced on that date, the "Jewish Daily Post", in commenting on the case on April 28, more than two weeks before the court announced its decision, had written: "It is much more a question of taking note of the charges than refuting them. The matter is already settled. ... The important thing now is to give the refutation as wide a publicity as possible. This case is a proof of what can be done with good Jewish organization." It was also an example of how the Ruffle Crested Jews can act illegally and still proclaim themselves to be "innocent Jews". They had demanded that the Protocols be declared a forgery while they, themselves, were secretly following the blueprint of the Protocols.

The two week advance notice that the "Jewish Daily Post" had given to the world's newspapers, magazines and radio stations to prepare them for the forthcoming announcement, primed them to produce the media blitz that followed. Advanced notice

26

was given with full knowledge of the power that their Jewish Media Monopoly had, by actually following the blueprint of the Protocols. As Protocol #12 states:

"Literature and journalism are two of the most important educative forces, and therefore our government will become proprietor of the majority of the journals. This will neutralize the injurious influence of the privately-owned press and will put us in possession of a tremendous influence upon the public mind. ... All our newspapers will be of all possible complexions – aristocratic, republican, revolutionary, even anarchical – for so long, of course, as the constitution exists .... Like the Indian idol, Vishnu, they will have a hundred hands, and every one of them will have a finger on any one of the public opinions as required. When a pulse quickens these hands

will lead opinion in the direction of our aims, for an excited patient loses all power of judgment and easily yields to suggestion. Those fools who will think they are repeating the opinion of a newspaper of their own camp will be repeating our opinion or any opinion that seems desirable for us. In the vain belief that they are following the organ of their party they will, in fact, follow the flag which we hang out for them."

And so, alerted with advance knowledge of the forthcoming announcement, on May 14, 1935, the world's presses simultaneously published, with great fanfare, that the Swiss courts (those honest and incorruptible Swiss courts) had, after a lengthy trial, found the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion to be a forgery.

Furthermore, honored place was given to Judge Meyer's pronouncements that the Protocols are "ridiculous nonsense" and that they had been condemned as an offence against public morals. Even in remote New Zealand numerous newspapers at that time published long articles on what they termed an "Historic Forgery"; and speeches were similarly given over the radio waves proclaiming loudly that the Protocols had been shown to be baseless. The announcements created a joyous, world-wide squawking of the Ruffle Crested Jews. Victory! They had achieved victory at last!

As the "Jewish Daily Post" had correctly written, "This case is a proof of what can be done with good Jewish organization." The Ruffle Crested Jews had organized a fraudulent show trial which broke all of the laws against false accusation, use of the law for private vendetta, perjury, bribery, corruption of public officials, slander and libel, falsifying evidence, and destruction of court records, among their most obvious crimes. They had accomplished this feat of denying the authenticity of the Protocols while simultaneously following the blueprint of the Protocols exactly. In addition, they had concealed their swindle as well as their adherence to the Protocols through their use of the ancient call of the Ruffle Crested Jews: "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!"

With that cry broadcast worldwide through their Media Monopoly, the people of

the world covered their ears and shut tightly their eyes; and while hoping that the Jews would just please shut up, the swindle was slyly slipped past the People's conscious awareness. Once again, loud shouting concealed their surreptitious crimes.

Even in modern times, over eighty years later, any mention of the Protocols is linked with the phrase, "Oh, but they were proved to be a forgery!" This is what the lying Jews have claimed all of this time even though the Berne Trial was declared by the Swiss Appeals Courts to have been a travesty of justice. Even today, over eighty years later, the Ruffle Crested Jews strut about proclaiming that the Protocols were declared to be a forgery in a court of law. But they keep totally silent about the fact that the decision was thrown out and their carefully built swindle was declared null and void. In other words,

27

the Jews to this very day are lying. Yet, over eighty years later, the B'nai B'rith and all the other subversive Jewish groups only advertise half of the swindle. The other half where their swindle was over-turned as a travesty of justice, they never mention. Since the People only hear the Jewish lies, what else can they believe?

The defendants and their supporters expressed great dissatisfaction with the conduct of the case. They immediately appealed the judgment. They contended, in the first place, that Judge Meyer, being a Marxian Socialist and having other attributes favorable to Jewry, was not a suitable judge for such a case. Judge Meyer had heard not one witness for the defense; had kept no Court record of the proceedings; and they detailed other irregularities of the trial. They objected to the refusal to hear their witnesses in rebuttal of the plaintiffs evidence, and also to the blocking of their action for perjury, these two decisions completely preventing any presentation of evidence for their side. The Protocols had not been proven a forgery as the Jews claimed. The only forgery was the Berne Trial that the Jews produced and directed.

The Appeals Court completely exonerated the defendants. It ruled that the alleged "experts" for the Jews, C. A. Loosi and Prof. A. Baumgarten, appointed by Judge Meyer, not only were biased on the Jewish question, but, moreover, the authenticity of the Protocols had no bearing on the case since they are of a political nature and do not belong in the category of obscene literature.

The Appeals Court also denounced "the prejudice and gross irregularities" which had been committed in the trial. Not a single one of the 40 witnesses for the defense had been permitted to testify, while all sixteen of the witnesses for the Jewish Community which brought the suit had been heard.

The proceedings were accordingly carried on solely upon the testimony of the Jewish plaintiffs. And further, although Swiss law demands that in the case of every law suit, shorthand minutes of the proceedings be taken by an official of the court, the Judge did not adhere to this condition, but permitted the Jewish plaintiffs to appoint two private stenographers to keep the register of the official proceedings during the hearing of their own witnesses. As, therefore, no legal record of the proceedings was kept, it follows that the whole procedure, and the verdict itself, are both null and void.

The Appeals Court ruled that the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion do not constitute immoral literature in the sense that circulation of the documents calls for punishment. The conviction in the lower court was reversed. The two defendants were completely exonerated of any incorrect behavior in publishing and circulating the Protocols. On Nov. 1, 1937, the Swiss Court of Appeals acquitted the principal defendant, Sylvio Schnell, and assessed the entire cost of the trial, 30,000 francs, against the state and reversed the verdict.

Two and a half years had gone by between the conviction and the acquittal. For two and a half years, the Jewish Media Monopoly worldwide had been churning out a constant stream of news and radio stories about how the Protocols had been "proven" a forgery in a court of law. The Ruffle Crested Jews had had two and half years to



brainwash the people of the world with the false results from their corruption of the legal process. For two and a half years, the Ruffle Crested Jews of the world browbeat the people of the world as they danced the Hora and joyously celebrated their Jewishness with their ancient soap opera theme-song: "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! It's a lie! It's a forgery! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!"

28

But when the Beme Trial was over-turned as a travesty of justice, did the Jews crow about this? Absolutely not! All of Jewry maintained a deafening SILENCE! You didn't hear of the exonerating verdict then and you don't hear of the verdict today. All you hear of today, is that the Protocols were declared a forgery in a Swiss court of law. When the decision benefited the Jews, it was news fit for the entire world to hear, a subject for special articles and radio broadcasts and shouts of victorious joy. When it was not as the Jews liked, it ceased to be news at all.

These actual techniques of the Protocols are practiced by the Jews to this very day in manipulating the news and world events through their Media Monopoly. Hiding the truth when it does not benefit them and telling lies when they can profit thereby, they are master manipulators of the public mind, following the Protocols exactly.

The Jews of the world proclaimed the Protocols to be a forgery. But rather than prove them to be so by the honest methods of logic and legal reasoning, they resorted to murder, bribery, blackmail, liable, slander, deceit, perjury, swindles and lies in their efforts to disprove them – not at all the methods of honest and innocent defendants but certainly the methods of criminals trying to evade their guilt. So, even the defensive tactics that the Jews used, points to the authenticity of the Protocols because they used the very methods of the Protocols in trying to "prove" that the Protocols are untrue !!!

Presently, in the Twenty-First Century, the Jews have swindled the wealth out of the entire United States and Europe through their banking frauds. They have stolen the homes of millions of people through their mortgage frauds. They have destroyed the health and the savings of the entire nation with their medical swindles. They have committed genocide against the white race. They have spread their perversions of homosexuality, prostitution and debauchery around the world. They have plunged the nations into warfare for their own profit. And they have become the richest people on earth with their pockets filled with the wealth of the Gentiles. But because they also own the Media and are able to print and broadcast whatever lies benefit them, then the People only hear the lies of the Ruffle Crested Jews.

Listen, listen carefully as the Ruffle Crested Jews flutter and flap and swann about. Listen as they steal your money, confiscate your home, seduce your daughter, debauch your son, destroy your country and dispossess you with illegal aliens. Listen, listen carefully as the Ruffle Crested Jews follow every single line and adhere to every single paragraph of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. Listen, listen carefully as that blueprint of destruction manifests and comes to fruition before your very eyes.

Read the Protocols and you can see for yourself all of the machinery that is destroying everything in Life that you hold dear and sweet. Listen, listen carefully and behind every Jewish banker who defrauds the nation, behind every Jewish financier who swindles a country in exchange for profit, behind every Jewish politician who betrays the people he swore to defend, behind every Ruffle Crested Jew who sticks his horsy face into a TV screen or stands for election as your town mayor, behind all of these perfidious frauds, you will always hear the ancient squeal and squawk of the Common Ruffle Crested Jews. "Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews! Bigot! You're prejudiced! We are innocent Jeeeeeeeeews!"

But how can the Jews be innocent if everything that they do is a criminal cheat?

"Let the American people once understand that it is not natural degeneracy but calculated subversion that afflicts us, and they are safe. The explanation is the cure."

– Henry Ford, My Life and Work

THE END

## Appendix

### Flow Chart of Protocols Provenance

#### Babylonian Talmud & Kabbala

~r

Just as modern readers can Immediately see that the Protocols are genuine merely by reading them and comparing them with the historical and political situation, Maurice Joly and his contemporary writers also did this. What they observed in society, they wrote about. They wrote their observations not knowing that what they observed was the result of a conspiracy based upon secret documents.

This flow chart shows that Maurice Joly based his observations on the methods used by Napoleon III. But it was Napoleon III who was following the secret Protocols supplied to him by their actual author and fellow Mason, Adolphe Cremleux.

## Reference Sources:

The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion  
Victor E. Marsden translation

< <http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/przionl.htm#PREFACE> >

Dialogue in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu  
by Maurice Joly, 1864

<<http://www.notbored.org/dialogue-in-hell.html>>

The myth of the Jewish Menace in World Affairs  
by Lucien Wolf

<[http://books.google.com/books?id=6\\_IH\\_AAAAIAAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Lucien](http://books.google.com/books?id=6_IH_AAAAIAAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Lucien)

+Wolf&hl=en&ei=Wye\_TNumGJGksQP6xpXNDA&sa=X&oi=book\_result&ct=result&resnum=4&ved=0CDcQ6AEwAw#v=onepage&q&f=false>

Protocols Decision Washed Out

< <http://www.biblebebevers.org.au/examiner.htm>>

Copyright 2010 by Banjo\_Billy

Permission is given to share copies, print, distribute, email or sell  
for a profit,  
reproductions of this booklet as long and no changes are made in its  
contents.

Distributed by Bamboo Delight Company  
[www.bamboo-delight.com](http://www.bamboo-delight.com)